20

## Aichmond Times-Bispatch

DAVID TENNANT BRYAN, President and Publisher VIRGINIUS DABNEY, Editor JOHN E. LEARD, Managing Editor

Friday, March 20, 1964

## Many Doubt Oswald's Guilt

**CPYRGHT** 

JACK RUBY'S decision to sever conviction is practically univerrelations with his roaring, sal in the United States that abusively exhibitionist attorney, Melvin Belli, is readily understood. "A discredit to him and his profession" is the description of Belli's conduct in the Dallas courtroom given by Walter C. CRAIG, president of the American Bar Association.

However, this Ruby case is going to be with us for some time to come. With or without Belli, it apparently will be appealed right up to the United States Supreme Court.

If and when it reaches that tribunal, there will be the decidedly awkward circumstances that CHIEF JUSTICE WARREN is chairman of the commission investigating the slaying of Presi-DENT KENNEDY. Hence it is difficult to see how he can sit on an appeal from Ruby's conviction.

Other aspects of the Oswald and Ruby cases are disturbing, especially the belief of vast numpers of Europeans that there is ome kind of "cover up."

For example, Crossy S. Noyes. oreign correspondent of the Washington Star, refers in a dispatch from Paris to Western Lurope's "almost universal belief in a sinister plot to hide the real culprits." Furthermore, "the eporting of the Ruby trial has served to strengthen these suspicions", since "in almost, every story there were hints that the ncal motive behind the killing concealed by both sides."

OSWALD killed PRESIDENT KEN-NEDY, the widespread prevalence of the opposite view in Europe is hardly less than amazing.

Take the conclusions of Mor-DECAI BRIENBERG, a former Canadian Rhodes Scholar and lecturer in sociology at Berkeley, Calif., who has published an article on the killing in the London Spectator. He reviews the whole body of public evidence against Oswald, and "finds it inconsistent and contradictory on every vital point."

For example, he finds no convincing proof that Oswald fired the rifle that killed KENNEDY. Furthermore, he thinks, in view of the wound in Kennedy's throat, that this shot may well have come from the railroad bridge ahead of the President's car, instead of from the rear.

Mr. Brienberg also suggests, despite denials, that OSWALD may have been working for the FBI or the CIA. The fact that he was not under surveillance, in view of his known record, is also deemed suspicious.

However, these conclusions are relatively mild by comparison with those of one Thomas Buchanan, a former U.S. Communist, writing in l'Express of Paris. He charges that the Dallas police made use of Oswald as an accomplice and "fall guy" in the assassination.

One thing seems certain: It will be a long time before we df Oswald was being deliberate- have heard the last of the Ken-NEDY assassination and the When one considers that the events growing out of it.